Atty. Docket No. MS307141.1/MSFTP573US

(MORE) ADVANCED SPAM DETECTION FEATURES by

John D. Mehr, Nathan D. Howell, and Micah C. Rupersburg

MAIL CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the attached patent application (along with any other paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date <u>March 1, 2004</u>, in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label Number <u>EV373131314US</u> addressed to the Mail Stop Patent Application, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Himanshu S. Amin

Title: (MORE) ADVANCED SPAM DETECTION FEATURES

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention is related to systems and methods for identifying both legitimate (e.g., good mail) and undesired mail, and more particularly for extracting message features particular to spam to facilitate spam prevention.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The advent of global communications networks such as the Internet has presented commercial opportunities for reaching vast numbers of potential customers. Electronic messaging, and particularly electronic mail ("email"), is becoming increasingly pervasive as a means for disseminating unwanted advertisements and promotions (also denoted as "spam") to network users.

The Radicati Group, Inc., a consulting and market research firm, estimates that as of August 2002, two billion junk e-mail messages are sent each day - this number is expected to triple every two years. Individuals and entities (e.g., businesses, government agencies) are becoming increasingly inconvenienced and oftentimes offended by junk messages. As such, spam is now or soon will become a major threat to trustworthy computing.

A key technique utilized to thwart spam is employment of filtering systems/methodologies. One proven filtering technique is based upon a machine learning approach - machine learning filters assign to an incoming message a probability that the message is spam. In this approach, features typically are extracted from two classes of example messages (e.g., spam and non-spam messages), and a learning filter is applied to discriminate probabilistically between the two classes. Such conventional learning filters commonly referred to as "content-based filters," typically scan messages for particular dictionary words or phrases that are more characteristic of spam. However, with the onslaught of such spam filtering techniques, many spammers have thought of ways to disguise their identities to avoid and/or bypass spam filters. Thus, conventional content-based and even adaptive filters may become ineffective in recognizing and blocking disguised spam messages.

20

5

10

15

25

10

15

20

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The following presents a simplified summary of the invention in order to provide a basic understanding of some aspects of the invention. This summary is not an extensive overview of the invention. It is not intended to identify key/critical elements of the invention or to delineate the scope of the invention. Its sole purpose is to present some concepts of the invention in a simplified form as a prelude to the more detailed description that is presented later.

Spammers often tend to modify their messages in a multitude of ways to get through filters. However, there are characteristics of messages that can be difficult for spammers to avoid. Similarly, there are characteristics of non-spam messages that can be difficult for spammers to duplicate. The subject invention provides a system and method that facilitate identifying disguised spam messages by examining particular portions of the messages. More specifically, the present invention involves processing a message to extract data therefrom to distinguish spam messages from legitimate or good messages. Message processing can involve examining various parts of a message for either spamlike or non-spam-like qualities.

According to an aspect of the invention, the subject line of a message can be examined for the presence of consecutive, equivalent characters, including white-space. The consecutive, equivalent characters or white space in the subject line can be counted and stored for a plurality of messages. Following therefrom, one or more ranges corresponding to a degree of spaminess can be determined. The "counted" messages can then be sorted or bucketed into a particular range based at least in part on their respective individual counts. Hence, messages can be associated by their range or degree of spaminess (e.g., feature) rather than their individual counts. Since spam messages are more likely to have higher counts of consecutive, equivalent characters in at least the subject line, the higher counts or ranges of counts of such characters can be extracted and then employed as one or more features that indicate spam.

Additionally, the subject line can also be examined to detect the presence of blobs as well as to determine a distance between a blob and a non-blob portion of the subject line. A blob is a random sequence of characters, numbers, and/or punctuation that can be

30

10

15

20

25

included to add uniqueness to a message. Hence, blobs can appear in the subject line of a message following any number of consecutive, repeating characters (e.g., white space). In most cases, the blob may be hidden from the message recipient's view. Furthermore, some spammers write in "hello" or "hi" or any other commonly used subject line phrase to fool conventional spam filters as well as message recipients into accepting and opening their messages. Similar inspections can also be performed with respect to the message body.

According to another aspect of the invention, the subject line as well as the body portion of a message can be examined for the presence of non-alphanumeric characters, and in particular, for a percentage of non-white space and non-numeric characters that are not letters detected in the message. For example, after analyzing any number of known spam and/or known good messages, it may be determined that spam on average includes more than about 5% of non-white space and non-numeric characters (that are not letters) in the first 25% of the body portion of the message. Thus, this pair of percentages can be employed as data or features to facilitate catching and identifying spam messages.

Spammers behave maliciously for the most part and are often found to alter message headers so as to confuse or get through conventional spam filters. One of possible tactic they can utilize is to misrepresent the content-type of the message. For example, the primary and/or the secondary content-type can be altered. A survey of messages can be performed to determine whether more non-spam arrives as a particular content-type such as plain-text, for example. Thus, if more non-spam is observed to arrive as plain-text than spam, this particular fact can be extracted and also used as a feature to distinguish between good messages and spam. Furthermore, the content-type can be case-sensitive to more accurately capture variations in content-type notation provided by message sending applications – including those used by spammers.

Another message header that can be tampered with by spammers is the "Received:" line header. As messages hop from one server to the next on their way to their respective destinations, each server that "touches" the message stamps the current time (e.g., timestamp) of its arrival as well as the server's name and IP address. Because spammers are always trying to masquerade their actual source, they often forge some information in the Received header such as the timestamp information. In fact, many

times during the forgery process, timestamps are altered or forced and no longer represent an accurate gauge of the time the message originated and consequently, the amount of time it took to deliver the message to the recipient. Thus, analyzing a time-based delta between the timestamp in the first Received header (e.g., first server to accept the message and begin delivery to the next hop) and the "Date:" header (when the message was actually received for delivery to the recipient), normalized to coordinated universal time (UTC), can represent the apparent end-to-end delivery time for the message. For example, most good messages can be or are delivered in less than an hour; whereas, most spam can appear to have taken several hours or even days to reach their destinations.

10

5

The extracted data as described herein, or at least a portion thereof, can be used to generate features or feature sets for machine learning systems. Machine learning techniques examine the contents of messages to determine if the messages are spam. The filters can be updated as the feature sets employed in the machine learning learn new characteristics regarding spam and/or non-spam messages.

15

To the accomplishment of the foregoing and related ends, certain illustrative aspects of the invention are described herein in connection with the following description and the annexed drawings. These aspects are indicative, however, of but a few of the various ways in which the principles of the invention may be employed and the present invention is intended to include all such aspects and their equivalents. Other advantages and novel features of the invention may become apparent from the following detailed description of the invention when considered in conjunction with the drawings.

20

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a high-level block diagram of a system that facilitates spam prevention in accordance with an aspect of the present invention.

25

Fig. 2 is a block diagram of a system that facilitates spam prevention by extracting one or more features from incoming messages in accordance with an aspect of the present invention.

30

Fig. 3 is a schematic diagram of a plurality of features of a message which can be analyzed in accordance with an aspect of the present invention.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Fig. 4 is a schematic diagram that provides an example of an aspect of Fig. 3 in accordance with an aspect of the present invention.

Fig. 5 is a schematic diagram that provides an example of an aspect of Fig. 3 in accordance with an aspect of the present invention.

Fig. 6 is a flow diagram of an exemplary method in connection with training filters in accordance with an aspect of the present invention.

Fig. 7 is a flow diagram of an exemplary method in connection with employing a trained filter in accordance with an aspect of the present invention.

Fig. 8 is a flow diagram of an exemplary method in connection with analyzing a subject line of a message to extract one or more features therefrom in accordance with an aspect of the present invention.

Fig. 9 is a flow diagram of an exemplary method in connection with analyzing message content to extract one or more features therefrom in accordance with an aspect of the present invention.

Fig. 10 is an exemplary environment for implementing various aspects of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is now described with reference to the drawings, wherein like reference numerals are used to refer to like elements throughout. In the following description, for purposes of explanation, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. It may be evident, however, that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances, well-known structures and devices are shown in block diagram form in order to facilitate describing the present invention.

As used in this application, the terms "component" and "system" are intended to refer to a computer-related entity, either hardware, a combination of hardware and software, software, or software in execution. For example, a component may be, but is not limited to being, a process running on a processor, a processor, an object, an executable, a thread of execution, a program, and/or a computer. By way of illustration, both an application running on a server and the server can be a component. One or more

10

15

20

25

components may reside within a process and/or thread of execution and a component may be localized on one computer and/or distributed between two or more computers.

The subject invention can incorporate various inference schemes and/or techniques in connection with generating training data for machine learned spam filtering. As used herein, the term "inference" refers generally to the process of reasoning about or inferring states of the system, environment, and/or user from a set of observations as captured *via* events and/or data. Inference can be employed to identify a specific context or action, or can generate a probability distribution over states, for example. The inference can be probabilistic – that is, the computation of a probability distribution over states of interest based on a consideration of data and events. Inference can also refer to techniques employed for composing higher-level events from a set of events and/or data. Such inference results in the construction of new events or actions from a set of observed events and/or stored event data, whether or not the events are correlated in close temporal proximity, and whether the events and data come from one or several event and data sources.

It is to be appreciated that although the term message is employed extensively throughout the specification, such term is not limited to electronic mail *per se*, but can be suitably adapted to include electronic messaging of any form that can be distributed over any suitable communication architecture. For example, conferencing applications that facilitate a conference between two or more people (*e.g.*, interactive chat programs, and instant messaging programs) can also utilize the filtering benefits disclosed herein, since unwanted text can be electronically interspersed into normal chat messages as users exchange messages and/or inserted as a lead-off message, a closing message, or all of the above. In this particular application, a filter can be trained to automatically filter particular message content (text and images) in order to capture and tag as spam the undesirable content (*e.g.*, commercials, promotions, or advertisements).

In the subject invention, the term "recipient" refers to an addressee of an incoming message or mail item. The term "user" can refer to a recipient or a sender, depending on the context. For example, a user can refer to an email user who sends spam and/or a user can refer to an email recipient who receives the spam, depending on the context and application of the term.

10

15

20

Referring now to Fig. 1, there is illustrated a general block diagram of a data extraction and feature generation system 100 for use in training filters in accordance with an aspect of the present invention. The system 100 involves processing incoming messages to extract data or features from the messages. Such data or features can be extracted from at least a portion of the message content or body as well as any header information included with the message. In particular, one or more incoming messages can be received by the system 100 *via* a message receiving component 110. The message receiving component 110 can be located on an email or message server, for example. Though some messages (*e.g.*, at least one) can succumb to an existing filter (*e.g.*, spam filter, junk filter, parental control filter), and thus be diverted to a trash bin or other type of junk mail folder, at least a portion of the message headers and/or message content can be extracted and analyzed for use in connection with a machine learning system.

The message receiving component 110 can pass the incoming messages, or a subset thereof, to a data extraction component 120. The data extraction component 120 can extract particular types of data from the respective messages 110 in order to generate feature sets to facilitate filter training and ultimately spam detection. Exemplary types of data extracted from the messages for examination include timestamp header information, content-type header information, subject line content, and/or message content including the presence or absence of attachments. The data extraction component 120 can perform any suitable number of processes to extract various sets or subsets of information from the message 110 and to create features therefrom for subsequent training of one or more spam filters.

According to an aspect of the subject invention, the data extraction component 120 can focus on a subject line of a message. The subject line can be analyzed by a data-feature analysis component 130 for the presence of blobs and/or consecutive, repeating characters including letters, numbers, spaces, and punctuation. Consecutive repeating characters, such as in the form of white-space characters, may be observed in connection with or preceding a blob, for instance. Recall that a blob is a set of random characters (e.g., letters, numbers, and/or punctuation) often set out of view in many email clients.

In one aspect of the invention, the data-feature analysis component 130 can count the number of consecutive, repeating characters if any are present. After a number of

30

counts are collected from a plurality of messages, the highest number (*e.g.*, 20 consecutive white-space characters) of consecutive, repeating characters can be determined. From this information, a number of ranges can be established. The set of ranges of consecutive, repeating characters can be determined based on a degree of spaminess to facilitate bucketing current, stored and/or subsequent messages. For example, the ranges for bucketing can be on the order of 0-4, 5-6, 7-8, and 9-14 such that as the range increases, the degree or level of spaminess of the message increases as well. Thus, a message having 0-4 consecutive, repeating characters in the subject line is probably a good or legitimate message whereas a message having 9-14 consecutive, repeating characters in its subject line is probably a spam message. Hence, employing ranges of consecutive, repeating characters as a particular feature optimizes the ability of a machine learning system to differentiate spam from good messages particularly when training filters.

As mentioned above, spam messages can include subject lines engineered to contain a blob for the purpose of uniqueness and may often space a non-blob portion (e.g., normal or logical sequence of characters) of the subject line far from the blob. This can effectively push the blob outside of the visible space in many email clients, for instance (Fig. 4, infra, provides more detailed information regarding this). Hence, the distance, for example in terms of characters or white space, between a blob and a non-blob portion can be determined as well and used a feature.

In addition to the subject line, the data-feature analysis component 130 can also analyze a body portion of a message to detect a string of random characters. In practice, blobs observed in an earlier part of the message can be indicative of spam. However, other portions of the message body may include blobs. Hence, a percentage of non-white space and non-numeric characters can be calculated to facilitate determining whether the message is more spam-like. This percentage determined for a specified portion of the message can indicate a higher degree of spaminess. For example, messages having >10% of non-white space and non-numeric characters (not letters) in the first 30% of the body can be a feature used to train one or more filters.

In another aspect of the invention, the data-feature analysis component 130 can also look at content-type as well as timestamp headers. Information included in such

8

5

10

15

20

25

fields can facilitate predicting whether a message is probably spam or probably good. The presence of attachments can also be used as a feature by the machine learning system since attachments are more often found with respect to good messages. Thus, an indication that an attachment is present as well as the type of attachment can be one attribute that makes a message appear to be more legitimate than spam.

The data-feature analysis component 130 can also monitor and/or observe primary and/or secondary (MIME) content-types of messages to determine the content-types characteristic of good messages and spam. Spammers may attempt to forge or misrepresent the content-types of their messages by making them appear to reflect a type more characteristic of good messages (e.g., including messages from trusted senders). Thus, monitoring the content-types identified in incoming messages can facilitate detecting and identifying spam. Furthermore, content-type features can be case-sensitive to more accurately capture variations of primary and/or secondary MIME content-types employed by various email sending applications, for example.

15

20

10

5

In addition, the data-feature analysis component 130 can examine a first and a last timestamp provided in the Received and Date headers, respectively, of a message to determine a time-based delta between the origination of a message and the receipt of the message to its final destination. For instance, messages which have a time-based delta of less than one hour or even less than six hours are probably legitimate than messages having a time-based delta of just under or more than 24 hours. As more time elapses between the origination (*e.g.*, the time first server accepts message and begins delivery to the next hop or server) and receipt of a message (*e.g.*, message reaches final destination), the level of spaminess of a message increases. This is because spammers have a tendency to use and send the same message again and again, including previous headers. Such previous headers may include forged or old timestamps which persist with the message. As a result of this forgery, spam messages can appear to arrive in a user's inbox before the message was actually sent or appear to take significantly longer to deliver. Such messages are indicative of spam.

30

25

Any combination of features as described above can be extracted from each incoming message and then employed to determine whether a message behaves or appears to be more like spam. The presence of any one of the above features or message

10

15

20

25

attributes cannot be determinative of spam but rather examining more than one attribute of a message can facilitate this determination.

Moreover, messages can be randomly, automatically, and/or manually selected to participate in feature extraction, although substantially all messages can be used to train and/or pass through filters. The extracted sets of features are subsequently applied to a filter training component 140 such as machine learning systems or any other system that builds and/or trains filters such as spam filters.

Referring now to Fig. 2, there is illustrated a feature extraction system 200 that facilitates normalizing one or more features of an incoming message 210 in accordance with one aspect of the present invention. Ultimately, a filter(s) can be built based at least in part upon one or more of the normalized features. The system 200 comprises a feature extractor component 220 that receives an incoming message 210 either directly as shown or indirectly by way of a message receiving component (e.g., Fig. 1, 110), for example. Incoming messages selected for feature extraction can be subjected to the system 200, according to user preferences. The selection of messages can occur randomly or in the alternative, substantially all incoming messages can be available for and participate in feature extraction.

Feature extraction involves parsing out one or more features 230 (also referred to as FEATURE1 232, FEATURE2 234, and FEATUREQ 236, where Q is an integer greater than or equal to one) associated with some attribute of the message 210. Message attributes can relate to information included in the message headers such as a timestamp indicating when the sender originally sent the message (e.g., first timestamp) and when the message reached its final destination (e.g., last time stamp) and/or a content-type of the message (e.g., primary MIME and/or secondary MIME type). Other message attributes can include such information corresponding to some content in the message body. In some cases, a lack of information such as the absence of an attachment in the message can be an attribute that can be extracted from the message and utilized as a feature.

Because spammers tend to frequently disguise their identity to avoid detection by conventional spam filters, attributes or other information or data extracted from messages can be normalized at least in part by a feature analyzer component 240. The feature analyzer component 240 can include one or more sub-analysis components 242 and 244,

respectively, designed to examine and/or normalize the relevant information into at least one feature.

For example, the feature analyzer component 240 can calculate a time-based delta by extracting a first timestamp (*e.g.*, from Received header) and a last timestamp (*e.g.*, from Date header), normalizing them to UTC time codes, then subtracting the timestamps to obtain an apparent end-to-end delivery time for the message. The delivery times can be categorized or bucketed into ranges such as <1 hour, <6 hours, <24 hours, and/or >24 hours, and the messages can be placed in their appropriate bucket or category. Thus, a message having a feature of <1 hour or <24 hours can be an indicator of whether the message is spam. In practice, most legitimate messages will appear to have been delivered in <1 hour. Some spam messages may likely appear in the <1 category as well; however a large majority of spam that includes forged Received headers may likely fall outside of this bucket, making those buckets or ranges of delivery times useful spam indicators.

15

20

10

5

The feature analyzer component 240 can also compute a percentage of a message body to analyze. For instance, analyzing the beginning portion of the message such as the first 25% of the total lines included within the body part of the message can be performed. Concentrating on particular portions of a message can be useful or important since spammers tend to exhibit different types of behavior in different parts of their messages to fool both recipients and spam filters. For example, a spam message can look like a good message in the beginning and even in the middle portions of the body because it purposefully includes text or words that have been deemed "good". However, at the end of the message, it may include wording, punctuation and/or other text that constitutes spam.

25

Once a portion of the message has been determined to undergo closer scrutiny, the content therein can be further analyzed. For example, the presence of non-white-space and non-numeric characters that are not letters can be identified and quantified in terms of a percentage. The fact that these kinds of character patterns have been identified can be used as one feature and the percentage of their occurrence can be used as another feature. The subject line of a message can also undergo this type of analysis to determine the occurrence of and the extent to which such character patterns exist therein. Such

5

10

15

20

25

30

information about the message can be converted to one or more features, depending on the type of information extracted.

Once the features are normalized, at least a subset of them can be employed by a training system 250 such as a machine learning system, to build and/or update a filter(s). The filter(s) can be trained for use as a spam filter and/or a junk-mail filter, for example. Furthermore, the filter(s) can be built and/or trained with positive features such as those which indicate a non-spam source and/or a non-spam sender as well as with negative features such as those that identify and are associated with a spammer.

Fig. 3 depicts an exemplary breakdown of a feature analysis component 300 (denoted as feature analyzer) with respect to the types of information that can be extracted and examined and then employed as features for filter training or hand rule building purposes. In one instance, information included on a subject line 310 can be extracted and analyzed in order to generate one or more features therefrom. In particular, the presence of blobs and/or consecutive, repeating characters such as white-space in the subject line can be utilized to decipher between spam and good messages, as described, *supra*, in Figs. 1 and 2.

Turning briefly to Fig. 4, an exemplary subject line 400 is depicted as including a blob 410 spaced relatively far away from a non-blob portion 430. For example, there may be about 20 consecutive, repeating characters in the form of white-space characters between the non-blob 430 and blob 410 portions that effectively push the blob 410 outside the viewable region (for most messaging clients). Thus, only the non-blob portion 430 is viewable to the user and thus, the message appears to be legitimate based on the non-blob portion 430. Spammers thrive on this type of deception.

Further analysis of the consecutive characters 420, such as finding the maximum number of consecutive repeating characters, grouping the possible counts into ranges, and then "bucketing" the possible results, can further generalize and/or optimize the resulting effect that each feature has on a machine learning system. In other words, bucketing or employing ranges for particular features (as opposed to using individual counts: 1, 2, 4, 6 ...13) can optimize the results generated from machine learning systems, thereby improving spam detection and prevention.

Referring again to Fig. 3, the feature analyzer 300 can also be programmed to detect any files attached to a message (e.g., attachments 320) as well as to identify the attachment type. The presence or absence of attachments as well as the type of attachments in a message can be useful since most spammers do not include attachments in their messages. This is because attachments can add to the cost associated with sending spam. Therefore, messages including attachments are more likely non-spam. However, messages that do include an attachment may resemble spam depending on the type of the attachment. For example, virus-type spam messages can include attachments in the form of executable files.

10

15

5

In general, most messages are transmitted via SMTP servers from origin to destination. In most cases, messages will transmit quickly between servers. These hops between servers are each indicated in a delivered message by Received: line headers. The Received: line header is stamped on the message at each hop by the SMTP server. The stamp can also include information concerning where the message came from (e.g., IP address, server name) as well as the current time or timestamp. To combat forgery or other acts intended to masquerade the spammer's identity as well as the message, the delivery time of a message can be computed and used as a feature. Longer (computed) delivery times suggest spam whereas shorter delivery times indicate a legitimate message.

20

For example, imagine that a user has opened all messages (received) dated two days ago as well as all other messages received since then. However, today, the user sees a new message in his inbox that appears to have arrived two days ago. This can occur when spammers force or leave in some timestamp that persists in every copy of the message they send regardless of when they send it. As a result, the message appears to have come from a time that it did not, and most of these types of messages can be determined to be spam by determining the delivery time.

25

Delivery times can be determined by computing a time-based delta 330. The time-based delta 330 can be calculated by taking the difference between the timestamps in the first Received header and in the Date header, and then normalizing them to UTC time codes. If a computed delivery time is >24 hours, then the message is almost always

10

15

20

spam and can be classified as such. However, delivery times of less than a few hours or even less than 12 hours are probably non-spam.

Overall, message headers are prone to spammer modification in their attempts to mimic or resemble as many qualities of good messages as possible. Another spammer technique involves misrepresenting the primary or secondary content-type 340 of the message. The content-type header describes the data contained in the message body to a sufficient extent that the receiving user agent can choose an appropriate mechanism to present the date to the user or to otherwise deal with the data. Information in the content-type header can include at least a type and subtype identifier followed by a set of parameters which correspond to the type and subtype. There are seven standard predefined content-types: text, multipart, message, image, audio, video, and application.

As messages arrive at a message receiving component (e.g., Fig. 1, 110), information can be parsed from the message including the content-type. After the messages are classified as spam or non-spam, their respective content-types can be noted and stored along with the classification. For example, a sampling of messages can demonstrate that most non-spam messages arrive as plain-text (e.g., having a primary MIME type definition of "text/html"). Thus, "text" could be extracted as a primary MIME type feature. Thus, receiving an abnormal surge of text-type messages can indicate that at least some of the messages may be spam and that spammers are mimicking the content-type of good messages. As a result, the text-type feature can be down-weighted when evaluating the message and its features overall to determine if it is spam or more likely to be spam.

It should be appreciated that the content-type feature can be case-sensitive, thus a "Text/HTML" type would be different from a "text/html" type. The same analysis and feature extraction can be applied to the secondary MIME-part types. Thus, in the previous examples, "html" and "HTML", respectively, could be used as two separate features to facilitate differentiating spam from non-spam messages.

Most non-spam messages are written in very ordinary form such as non-spam message 510 as illustrated in Fig. 5. As can be seen, the non-spam message 510 does not have variations in spacing and does not include any blobs (e.g., random sequences of characters, numbers, and/or punctuation). Meanwhile, many spam messages do include

30

blobs, variations in spacing, and potentially only URLs in the message bodies, as demonstrated in spam message 520. As shown, the spam message 520 includes consecutive repeating characters such as the three exclamation points as well as the white space 530 between the text portion "Herbal Viagra!!!" and the URL. Thus, a body portion of the message can be observed to detect blobs as well as other spacing and/or character pattern oddities not typical of good messages. For example, a beginning portion such as the first 5 lines can be inspected for the presence of blobs. It should be appreciated that the number of consecutive lines and/or location of consecutive lines selected for closer examination can vary depending on user or system preferences.

10

5

The message body can also be analyzed to determine its percentage of non-white-space and non-numeric characters (that are not letters). This percentage can be used as a feature as well because spam usually demonstrates a higher percentage than good messages. More specifically, after analyzing a number of spam and non-spam messages, a user or system may conclude that some threshold value or percentage (e.g., >10%) of a non-spam message comprises non-white-space and non-numeric characters (that are not letters). Therefore, when a calculated percentage exceeds the threshold, that portion of the message body or the entire message body can be further inspected.

15

Such messages and the information extracted therefrom can be translated into one or more features for detecting spam. Thus, utilizing a threshold value in this manner can facilitate reducing the total number of variations of the features that serve as inputs to the machine learning system. Similarly, an identical feature can be introduced that analyzes this percentage of non-numeric and non-white-space characters within the subject line portion of the message.

20

25

Various methodologies in accordance with the subject invention will now be described via a series of acts. It is to be understood and appreciated that the present invention is not limited by the order of acts, as some acts may, in accordance with the present invention, occur in different orders and/or concurrently with other acts from that shown and described herein. For example, those skilled in the art will understand and appreciate that a methodology could alternatively be represented as a series of interrelated states or events, such as in a state diagram. Moreover, not all illustrated acts may be required to implement a methodology in accordance with the present invention.

Referring now to Fig. 6, there is illustrated a flow diagram of an exemplary process 600 that facilitates training a filter in accordance with an aspect of the present invention. The process 600 can begin with receiving a message (e.g., at least one message) at 610. The message(s) can be received by a server, for example, where optionally an existing filter (e.g., a spam filter) can predict that the message is likely spam or unlikely spam based at least in part upon a set of criteria previously learned by the filter.

10

5

information therefrom that can be later employed to generate one or more features. The particular attributes of the message which can be extracted include subject line information, attachment information, timestamp data, content-type information, message body information, and/or data computed based on information in the subject line and/or the message body (e.g., percentage of non-white-space and non-numeric characters in a

particular region of the message – such as, first 5 lines or first 25% of message).

At 620, the message can be parsed to extract one or more features or to extract

15

The features generated from the message as well as the classification of the message (e.g., spam or not spam) can be added to a training set of data at 630. The set of training data can be employed to train a filter by way of a machine learning algorithm, for example, at 640. At 650, the process 600 can be repeated for substantially all other incoming messages until the filter is trained accordingly.

20

25

Once trained, a machine learning filter can be utilized to facilitate spam detection as described by an exemplary methodology 700 in Fig. 7. The methodology 700 begins with receiving a message at 710. At 720, one or more features are extracted from the message and analyzed as described, *infra*. At 730, the extracted features associated with the message (or the message and features) are passed through a filter trained by a machine learning system, for instance. Following, a verdict such as "spam", "not spam", or a probability of the message being spam is obtained from the machine learning system. Once the verdict is obtained regarding the content of the message, appropriate action can be taken. Types of actions include, but are not limited to deleting the message, moving the message to a special folder, quarantining the message, and allowing the recipient to view or access the message (e.g., to rescue the message).

10

15

20

25

Referring now to Fig. 8, there is a flow diagram of an exemplary method 800 that involves analyzing specific attributes of a message to facilitate spam detection and prevention. In particular, the method 800 involves extracting and/or analyzing information from a subject line of a message at 810. At 820, a count of any consecutive, repeating characters detected in the subject line can be obtained. Characters include spaces or white-space as well as letters, numbers and punctuation. This information can be stored and counts can be obtained for a plurality of other messages.

Based on the highest or near highest count obtained from the plurality of messages, a distribution of ranges can be established based on a degree of spaminess at 830. For example, imagine the following ranges: 0-4, 5-7, 8-9, and/or 10-14 consecutive repeating characters. Messages having 1 observed consecutive repeating character can be bucketed or assigned to the 0-4 group. Messages having 6 consecutive repeating characters can be assigned to the 5-7 bucket, *etc*. Each bucket or grouping can correlate to a degree or level of spaminess; and the assigned grouping can be translated into a feature. Other features can be extracted as well. For instance, the individual count per message can be used as a feature.

At 840, the groupings can be further analyzed to determine degrees of spaminess such as the following: messages in 0-4 bucket are most likely good messages; messages in the 8-9 bucket are more spam-like and the messages in the 10-14 bucket are most likely spam. Moreover, features generated from examining the subject line in this manner can be useful indicators of spam and non-spam messages.

Turning now to Fig. 9, there is a flow diagram of an exemplary method 900 that facilitates identifying spam-like characteristics and detecting spam in accordance with an aspect of the present invention. The method 900 involves extracting at least a portion of information associated with the content of the message or message body for analysis at 910. At 920, the presence of any attachments can be determined. This can be helpful in differentiating spam from non-spam because most spam messages do not include attachments due to the higher costs associated therewith. Thus, the presence of an attachment can be a positive feature to indicate that a message is not spam. However, if an attachment is detected, the type of attachment can be extracted as certain document or file types are more prevalent in spam than others.

5

10

15

20

25

At 930, the primary and/or secondary MIME content-type can be identified. This can be useful since many spammers try to mimic qualities of good messages. For example, if most good messages arrived as multipart-type, then spammers may try to masquerade their messages as multipart-type messages. The converse may apply as well. When the method observes a high influx of multipart-type messages compared to previous days (or at the similar time of day), it can cause such messages to undergo further scrutiny, thereby resulting in possibly catching some spam that may have otherwise gotten passed the filter. The content-type feature can also be case-sensitive to accommodate or account for the different variations of content-types used by different messaging applications.

Upon consideration of the various attributes extracted from the message and analyzed, the messages can be given an overall score such as a spam probability score to indicate whether the message is more or less likely to be spam (at 940). The score can be compared to a spam threshold value whereby scores meeting or exceeding the threshold value are deemed spam and scores below are deemed good or questionable at best. Questionable messages (e.g., messages close to the threshold value) can be handled in any manner as desired by the user or system.

In order to provide additional context for various aspects of the present invention, Fig. 10 and the following discussion are intended to provide a brief, general description of a suitable operating environment 1010 in which various aspects of the present invention may be implemented. While the invention is described in the general context of computer-executable instructions, such as program modules, executed by one or more computers or other devices, those skilled in the art will recognize that the invention can also be implemented in combination with other program modules and/or as a combination of hardware and software.

Generally, however, program modules include routines, programs, objects, components, data structures, *etc.* that perform particular tasks or implement particular data types. The operating environment 1010 is only one example of a suitable operating environment and is not intended to suggest any limitation as to the scope of use or functionality of the invention. Other well known computer systems, environments, and/or configurations that may be suitable for use with the invention include but are not

limited to, personal computers, hand-held or laptop devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based systems, programmable consumer electronics, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe computers, distributed computing environments that include the above systems or devices, and the like.

5

With reference to Fig. 10, an exemplary environment 1010 for implementing various aspects of the invention includes a computer 1012. The computer 1012 includes a processing unit 1014, a system memory 1016, and a system bus 1018. The system bus 1018 couples the system components including, but not limited to, the system memory 1016 to the processing unit 1014. The processing unit 1014 can be any of various available processors. Dual microprocessors and other multiprocessor architectures also can be employed as the processing unit 1014.

10

15

The system bus 1018 can be any of several types of bus structure(s) including the memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus or external bus, and/or a local bus using any variety of available bus architectures including, but not limited to, 11-bit bus, Industrial Standard Architecture (ISA), Micro-Channel Architecture (MSA), Extended ISA (EISA), Intelligent Drive Electronics (IDE), VESA Local Bus (VLB), Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI), Universal Serial Bus (USB), Advanced Graphics Port (AGP), Personal Computer Memory Card International Association bus (PCMCIA), and Small Computer Systems Interface (SCSI).

20

25

The system memory 1016 includes volatile memory 1020 and nonvolatile memory 1022. The basic input/output system (BIOS), containing the basic routines to transfer information between elements within the computer 1012, such as during start-up, is stored in nonvolatile memory 1022. By way of illustration, and not limitation, nonvolatile memory 1022 can include read only memory (ROM), programmable ROM (PROM), electrically programmable ROM (EPROM), electrically erasable ROM (EEPROM), or flash memory. Volatile memory 1020 includes random access memory (RAM), which acts as external cache memory. By way of illustration and not limitation, RAM is available in many forms such as synchronous RAM (SRAM), dynamic RAM (DRAM), synchronous DRAM (SDRAM), double data rate SDRAM (DDR SDRAM), enhanced SDRAM (ESDRAM), Synchlink DRAM (SLDRAM), and direct Rambus RAM (DRRAM).

Computer 1012 also includes removable/nonremovable, volatile/nonvolatile computer storage media. Fig. 10 illustrates, for example a disk storage 1024. Disk storage 1024 includes, but is not limited to, devices like a magnetic disk drive, floppy disk drive, tape drive, Jaz drive, Zip drive, LS-100 drive, flash memory card, or memory stick. In addition, disk storage 1024 can include storage media separately or in combination with other storage media including, but not limited to, an optical disk drive such as a compact disk ROM device (CD-ROM), CD recordable drive (CD-R Drive), CD rewritable drive (CD-RW Drive) or a digital versatile disk ROM drive (DVD-ROM). To facilitate connection of the disk storage devices 1024 to the system bus 1018, a removable or non-removable interface is typically used such as interface 1026.

It is to be appreciated that Fig. 10 describes software that acts as an intermediary between users and the basic computer resources described in suitable operating environment 1010. Such software includes an operating system 1028. Operating system 1028, which can be stored on disk storage 1024, acts to control and allocate resources of the computer system 1012. System applications 1030 take advantage of the management of resources by operating system 1028 through program modules 1032 and program data 1034 stored either in system memory 1016 or on disk storage 1024. It is to be appreciated that the present invention can be implemented with various operating systems or combinations of operating systems.

A user enters commands or information into the computer 1012 through input device(s) 1036. Input devices 1036 include, but are not limited to, a pointing device such as a mouse, trackball, stylus, touch pad, keyboard, microphone, joystick, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, TV tuner card, digital camera, digital video camera, web camera, and the like. These and other input devices connect to the processing unit 1014 through the system bus 1018 *via* interface port(s) 1038. Interface port(s) 1038 include, for example, a serial port, a parallel port, a game port, and a universal serial bus (USB). Output device(s) 1040 use some of the same type of ports as input device(s) 1036. Thus, for example, a USB port may be used to provide input to computer 1012, and to output information from computer 1012 to an output device 1040. Output adapter 1042 is provided to illustrate that there are some output devices 1040 like monitors, speakers, and printers among other output devices 1040 that require special adapters. The output

adapters 1042 include, by way of illustration and not limitation, video and sound cards that provide a means of connection between the output device 1040 and the system bus 1018. It should be noted that other devices and/or systems of devices provide both input and output capabilities such as remote computer(s) 1044.

5

10

15

Computer 1012 can operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as remote computer(s) 1044. The remote computer(s) 1044 can be a personal computer, a server, a router, a network PC, a workstation, a microprocessor based appliance, a peer device or other common network node and the like, and typically includes many or all of the elements described relative to computer 1012. For purposes of brevity, only a memory storage device 1046 is illustrated with remote computer(s) 1044. Remote computer(s) 1044 is logically connected to computer 1012 through a network interface 1048 and then physically connected *via* communication connection 1050. Network interface 1048 encompasses communication networks such as local-area networks (LAN) and wide-area networks (WAN). LAN technologies include Fiber Distributed Data Interface (FDDI), Copper Distributed Data Interface (CDDI), Ethernet/IEEE 1102.3, Token Ring/IEEE 1102.5 and the like. WAN technologies include, but are not limited to, point-to-point links, circuit switching networks like Integrated Services Digital Networks (ISDN) and variations thereon, packet switching networks, and Digital Subscriber Lines (DSL).

20

Communication connection(s) 1050 refers to the hardware/software employed to connect the network interface 1048 to the bus 1018. While communication connection 1050 is shown for illustrative clarity inside computer 1012, it can also be external to computer 1012. The hardware/software necessary for connection to the network interface 1048 includes, for exemplary purposes only, internal and external technologies such as, modems including regular telephone grade modems, cable modems and DSL modems, ISDN adapters, and Ethernet cards.

25

What has been described above includes examples of the present invention. It is, of course, not possible to describe every conceivable combination of components or methodologies for purposes of describing the present invention, but one of ordinary skill in the art may recognize that many further combinations and permutations of the present invention are possible. Accordingly, the present invention is intended to embrace all

5

such alterations, modifications and variations that fall within the spirit and scope of the appended claims. Furthermore, to the extent that the term "includes" is used in either the detailed description or the claims, such term is intended to be inclusive in a manner similar to the term "comprising" as "comprising" is interpreted when employed as a transitional word in a claim.